

1 Delegate Gullett.

2 DELEGATE GULLETT: Mr. Chairman, the proposal
3 of Delegate Macdonald is 180 degrees different from
4 the committee's recommendations on two very major subjects
5 which Mr. Moser has just mentioned, one of them about the
6 charter powers. This motion says, as set forth in its
7 charter, and this would have a very drastic effect upon
8 municipalities who do not have particular powers set forth
9 in their charters that they may wish to use in the
10 future, and you could not enumerate these powers in the
11 charter. You should not have to. We went to great
12 extent on the floor here yesterday to make this
13 eminently clear to the Convention, that not only were
14 the existing powers of charter powers to be retained by the
15 committee's proposal, but also those powers that were avail-
16 able to municipalities at the time of the adoption of
17 this Constitution.

18 In the second instance, it provides a uni-
19 lateral veto on the part of the county on annexation.
20 In our viewpoint this is no more fair than the unilateral
21 ability to annex on the part of the municipality.